# Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (MSCA): Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE) <br> <br> Information Event 

 <br> <br> Information Event}

Friday, 8 February 2019

Medical Research Council (MRC), Conference Centre, One Kemble Street, London
WC2B 4AN


## Agenda

| 10:00 | Registration and coffee |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10:30 | MSCA: RISE Overview, Funding Rules and Application Process |
|  | Branwen Hide, UK National Contact Point, UK Research Office (UKRO) |
| 11:30 | RISE proposal case study 1 |
|  | Dr Melanie Jordan, Royal College of Art and Dru Emma Mahony, National College of Art \& Design, Dublin |
| 12:00 | Q\&A session |
| 12:30 | Lunch break |
| 13:30 | Award criteria and evaluation process of proposals |
|  | Sarah Ashwood, UK National Contact Point, UK Research Office (UKRO) |
| 14:15 | RISE proposal case study 2 |
|  | Dr Sotos Generalis, Aston University |
| 14:45 | Q\&A session |
| 15:00 | Event closel |
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## Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (MSCA)



## MSCA Policy Objectives

Encouraging people to become researchers and to carry out research in Europe


## Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions

## Innovative Training Networks (ITN)

- For Early Stage Researchers


## Individual Fellowships (IF)

- For Experienced Researchers

Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE)

- Exchange visits (secondments) of staff

Co-funding of programmes (COFUND)

- For regional, national, international doctoral or fellowship programmes


# Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE) 

Overview

MSCA-RISE-2019 Deadline 2 April 2019

## RISE Overview

- RISE funds short-term exchanges of personnel between academic, industrial and commercial organisations throughout the world.
- It helps people develop their knowledge, skills and careers, while building links between organisations working in different sectors of the economy, including universities, research institutes and SMEs.
- Project implemented through the secondment of staff for a period between 1 and 12 months
- The maximum size and duration is 540 person months over 4 years




## RISE Goals- expected impact

## Short Term

- Staff members perform tasks to achiever the deliverable of the proposed research and innovation action
- Staff members develop new R\&I and transferable skills to boost future career opportunities, through both the RISE action and connected networking activities


## Long Term

- At Staff member level:
- Increased skills, both research-related and transferable ones, leading to improved employability and career prospects both in and outside academia
- Increase in high impact R\&I output, contribution to the knowledge-based economy and society
- At Organisation level:
- Enhanced cooperation and transfer of knowledge between sectors and disciplines
- Strengthening of international and intersectoral collaborative networks
- Boosting of R\&I capacity among participating organisations
- At System level:
- Increase in international, interdisciplinary and intersectoral mobility of researchers in Europe
- Strengthening of Europe's human capital base and attractiveness as an R\&I destination
- Better quality R\&I contributing to Europe's competitiveness and growth


## Who can Participate?

## Who is eligible for funding?

- All countries and nationalities can participate in RISE
- All institutions fulfilling the requirements of the Horizon 2020 Rules for Participation can participate in RISE
- EU member states, associated countries and third countries eligible for EU funding
- Third countries not eligible for EU funding (specific funding eligibility criteria)


## Academic sector:

- Public /private higher education establishments awarding academic degrees
- Public /private non-profit research organisations whose primary mission is to pursue research
- International European interest organisations (e.g. CERN, EMBL)


## Non-academic sector:

- Any entity not included in the academic sector
- For example: large companies, SMEs, NGOs, museums, hospitals and international organisations (e.g. UN, WHO)


## RISE Participants

## Beneficiaries

## Partner Organisations

- Organizations from the academic and non-academic sectors
- Sign the Grant Agreement and claim costs
- Are responsible for the execution of the programme
- Organizations from the academic and non-academic sectors
- Do not sign the Grant Agreement and do not claim costs
- Must include a letter of commitment in the proposal
- Are established in a Member State (MS) or Associated Country (AC)
- Are established in a Third Country (TC)


## Entities with a capital or legal link

- May implement certain action tasks described in Annex 1 of the grant agreement, i.e. seconding and hosting staff.
- The entities with a capital or legal link must be located in the same country of the Beneficiary/TC Partner organisation to which they are linked
- cannot be used to circumvent the eligibility conditions
- The sector of the Beneficiary (academic or non-academic) to which this entity is linked prevails over the status of the latter and is taken into account for intersectoral secondments.


## RISE Secondees

- RISE secondees must be members of 'staff'
- Actively engaged in or linked to research/innovation activities for at least 1 month prior to first secondment
- Duration is pro-rata for part time staff
- (E.g. 50\% FTE must have worked for at least 2 months before secondment)
- Types of staff members:
- ESR (no PhD and < 4 years experience)
- ER (PhD or $>4$ years experience)
- Managerial staff
- Administrative or Technical staff
- An in-built return mechanism must be foreseen (no set time period however)


## Conditions for Staff Eligibility

- Type of relationship between the staff member (secondee) and the sending organsiation does not have to be a direct employment contract
- The relationships (employment contract, fellowship or other) must comply with the applicable national law and internal practices
- Being a registered PhD candidate is sufficient to be considered staff member at the organisation where they are registered.
- Staff member (secondee) must be under the direction and instructions of the sending organisation for the duration of the secondment
- Sending organisation must be able to ensure the implementation of the activities in compliance with the Grant Agreement obligations
- The staff member must work $100 \%$ of their time on the RISE project when they are on secondement
- For part time staff they must have a contract/ supplementary agreement with their sending organisation to be able to implement the secondment on a fulltime basis

$$
\text { Guide for Applicants: Table } 3 \text { - List of conditions for staff eligibility }
$$

## Minimum Consortia

- Eligible consortia must have at least 3 legal entities in 3 different countries
- At least 2 participants from 2 different MSIAC
- If all in MSIAC: at least 1 academic and 1 non-academic


RISE


RISE

## RISE Eligible Secondments



## Secondment Eligibility



## RISE Secondment Example 1

## Examples: Intra-European Exchanges



## RISE Secondment Example 2

## Examples: Europe-Third Countries Exchanges



| Secondments from |
| :--- |
| a TC to a MSIAC are |
| not always eligible |
| for EU funding but |
| all of them must be |
| described in the |
| proposal. |

## Financial rules - practical considerations

## Proposal - Budget

| Researcher unit cost [person/month] EURO | Institutional unit cost [person/month] EURO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff member unit cost, Top-up allowance person/month | Research, training and networking costs | Management and overheads |
| 2100 | 1800 | 700 |

- Funding based fully on unit costs, multiplied by requested person months spent on secondments
- Institutional costs depend on implementation of secondments
- No detailed financial reporting but need to report on completed secondment months (explain how unit costs work to your financial department)
- Ensure all participants understand the financial rules
- The duration of the secondment is counted from the day of departure to the day of return


## EU Contribution

Staff member unit cost is intended to support the travel, accommodation and subsistence costs linked to the secondments:

- Sending organisation is expected to continue paying the salary of seconded staff during the secondment;
- Ensure that the EU contribution is fully used for the benefit of the seconded staff members; and
- Use your usual internal policies (the amount can be managed by the institution or paid directly to the researcher; in accordance with national rules)


## EU Contribution

- The Research, training and networking - covers the costs of R\&I related activities, e.g. consumables, lab costs, conference participation, workshops, coordination/review meetings and networking activities.
- Management and indirect - covers all general costs connected to organising and implementing secondments (administrative and financial management, logistics, ethics, human resources, legal advice, etc.).

Institutional costs can be moved between beneficiaries and redistributed to partners (needs to be agreed in the Consortium Agreement)

The payment of institutional costs is linked to the implementation of the secondment. If the secondment is not implemented none of the 3 categories are paid.

## Split Secondments

- It is possible to split into several stays
- Must still respect the maximum and minimum duration rule
- Secondments must be at least 1 month and not exceed 12 months
- All the secondments must take place within the duration of the action
- Split secondment:
- same staff member going to the same receiving organisation sent by the same sending organization


## Example:

- One staff member is seconded from Beneficiary "A" in Germany to a TC Partner organisation " $B$ " in Argentina for 17 days. This secondment will be eligible for funding only if the same staff member is seconded for at least 13 supplementary days from the same Beneficiary " $A$ " in Germany to the same TC Partner organisation " $B$ " in Argentina. A secondment of 45 days of the same staff member from a Beneficiary "A" in Germany to a TC Partner organisation "C" in Morocco will be eligible for funding but cannot be added to the secondment (initial 17 days) in Argentina to reach the minimum duration of one month.


## Declaration of costs

## Who declares costs...

- Beneficiaries: (not TC partners) in individual financial statements for:
- Outgoing secondments of its own staff
- Incoming secondments from a TC partner (if eligible for funding)
- If agreed by the consortium, a different distribution of institutional costs
- shouldn't be reported in the financial statements

If you are hosting staff from partner Third Country organisations eligible for funding, you are responsible for declaring costs linked to incoming secondments.

- Check the eligibility of seconded staff members.
- Retain evidence for potential audit.


# Annotated Model Grant Agreement <br> UKRO <br> UK RESEARCH OFFICE 

- AMGA covers financial rules and management (page 504 onwards for RISE)



## H2O20 Programme

AGA - Annotated Model Grant Agreement

1. Seconded staff costs (A.): Types of costs - Form - Eligibility conditions Calculation
1.1 What? This budget category covers the costs for the seconded staff members, by providing
for: a monthly top-up allowance (A) - for travel, accommodation and subsistence costs relating to the secondment.

A Budget flexibility - There is NO flexibility as regards the use of the seconded staff unit costs (i.e. top up allowance). They must be fully used for the staff member (to cover the travel, accommodation and
subsistence costs of the staff member); they can NOT be used to pay other staff members or other types of costs (see Article 32).
A Secondments - For MSCA-RISE, secondments are the core activity of the action (see Article 8).

What not? Research, training and networking costs and management and indirect costs of the beneficiaries are not covered under this budget category; they are covered under category 'institutional costs' (Article 6.2.B).
1.2 These costs must be declared as the unit cost fixed by Decision $\mathrm{C}(2017) 6855^{95}$ and set out in Annex 2 and 2 a of the GA.

This is currently:
for the top-up allowance: EUR $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{1 0 0}$ per seconded staff member per month (person month).
(1) For the latest information on the amount, see the H2020 Work Programme (section 3 MSCA) in force at the time of the call.

In practice, the declaration of costs for MSCA grants is very simple and almost completely automatized: The beneficiaries must only indicate the number of implemented person-months (for staff members seconded under the action) and the costs are then automatically calculated by the IT system.
1.3 The costs (in practice for MSCA: the person-months) must fulfil the following eligibility conditions

- fulfil the general conditions for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. the declared number of person-months must be linked to the implementation of the action, be incurred during the action duration, be identifiable and verifiable, etc.; see Article 6.1)
- be incurred for staff members that - at the date of secondment - :
- are one of the following three
- early stage researchers (i.e. NOT have a doctoral degree AND be in the first four years (full time equivalent research experience) of their research career)
- experienced researchers (i.e. have a doctoral degree $O R$ at least four years (full time equivalent research experience) of research career), or

95 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Available at } \\ & \text { costs msca en.pdf. }\end{aligned}$ http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-

## RISE Consortium Agreements (CA)

- Mandatory for RISE projects
- No official templates, normally prepared by coordinator
- Unofficial templates, based on DESCA 2020 Model Consortium Agreement: www.desca-2020.eu:
$>$ LERU template for ITNs (could be adapted for RISE):
$>$ BAK template for ITNs (could be adapted for RISE):
- IPR Helpdesk - IP in MSCA Factsheet
- Can include TC in the CA or have a secondary agreement

REA is not party to the CA and does not verify its content, though may ask to check it is in place.

## Application Process

## RISE 2018 Call Information

|  | Indicative budget | €80M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Publication date | 04 December 2018 |
|  | Call deadline | 2 April 2019 <br> (17:00 Brussels time) |
| 0 | Evaluation of proposals | May 2019 |
| 요 | Evaluation Outcome | June 2019 |
| 3 months | Signing of Grant Agreement | October 2019 |



## Feedback Report (ESR)

## Funding and Tender Opportunities Portal

Funding \& tender opportunities (the Single Electronic Data Interchange Area) is the entry point for participants and experts in funding programmes and tenders managed by the European Commission and other EU bodies.

Find calls for proposals and tenders

[^0]

Grant Co-funding of regional, national and international programmes MSCA-COFUND-2019
Types of action: Doctoral programmes Types of action: Fellowship programmes | Programme: Horizon 2020


## Guide for Applicants!



H2020 Programme

Guide for Applicants

Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE)

Version 4.02019
4December 2018

## Disclamer

This Guide aims to facilitate potential applicants. It is provided for information purposes only and is not intended to replace conssultation of any applicable legal sources Neither the Eurropean Commission, nor the Research Executive Agenc lor any person acting on weri
The quidance provided in the Annotated Model Grant Agreement shall preval in case of discrepancies.

## RISE FAQs

## Proposal Submission

- Two Parts
- Part A : Administrative forms ('Edit forms') and Part B - (B1 and B2) ('Download template' in MS Word and 'Upload' as Pdf.)
- Coordinator:
- Registering the draft proposal
- Draft acronym, draft summary, choice of panel
- adds beneficiary organisations and Partner Organisations (step 4: Parties)
- Submits proposal
- Beneficiary and Partner organisations:
- Ensure contact details are correct
- Ensure tables have been completed correctly
- PIC codes
- Proposal is submitted
- Submission system checks ('Validate forms' and 'Print preview')
- Submit' as many time as required until the deadline - submit early and often!


Please check our wiki for help on navigating the form.
Horizon 2020
Call: H2020-MSCA-RISE-2019
( Marie Skłodowska-Curie Research and Innovation Staff Exchange )
Topic: MSCA-RISE-2019
Type of action: MSCA-RISE
(RISE)
Proposal number: SEP-210575137
Proposal acronym: NCP TEST
Deadline Id: H2020-MSCA-RISE-2019

Table of contents

| Section | Title | Action |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | General information | Show |
| 2 | Participants \& contacts | Show |
| 3 | Budget | Show |
| 4 | Ethics | Show |
| 5 | Call-specific questions | Show |

[^1]The administrative forms must be filled in for each proposal using the templates available in the submission system. Some data field in the administrative forms are pre-filled based on the steps in the submission wizard.

## Table A3.1

- To be completed by all beneficiaries and partner organisations;
$\bullet$ List the outgoing secondments planned by each participating organisation, indicating the period, duration and the destination;
- Include those secondments from Third Counties (TC) that are not automatically eligible for funding;
- Only the secondments listed in Table A3.1 will be considered during the evaluation;
- This table will be used as indicator for assessing the performance of the grant and deviations will need to be justified in the standard reports; and
- The same staff member is identified by the same staff member ID, an integer number;


## Table A3. 2

- Automatically generated from Table A3.1; and
- Indicates the number of secondments allocated to each participating organisation, the global number of secondments and the total budget requested for the action will be shown.


## Part A - Budget

| Proposal Submission Forms |  | Table Of Contents | Validate Form | Save | Save\&Close |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ProposalID SEP-210575137 | Acronym NCP TEST |  |  |  |  |
| 3 - Budget |  |  |  |  | $?$ |

Add a new secondment or update an existing one by filling the below information

|  | Staff Member |  | Sending Organisation |  |  |  | Seconded to Organisation |  |  |  | Work <br> Package Number | Secondment Starting Month | Duration of Secondment (ResearcherMonths) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | ID | Profile | Short Name | Country | Region | Academic Sector | Short Name | Country | Region | Academic Sector |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | V |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |  | V | V |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Add Secondment |  |  | Update Secondment |  |

Table A3.1 List of secondments: 0 of 0


Table A3.2 Summary of secondments per participant (Beneficiaries + Partner Organisations)

| Participant Number | Organisation Short Name | Country | Academic | Number of secondments | Person-months | Estimated budget support (whole duration of the project) |  |  |  | Requested EU contribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Staff membercosts | Research, training and networking costs | Management and indirect costs | Total |  |
| 1 | UKRI | UK | yes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

```
DOCUMENT 1 (MAX 32 PAGES)
    START PAGE (MAX 1 page)
1 TABLE of CONTENT (MAX 1 page)
    START PAGE COUNT (MAX 30 PAGES SECTIONS 2-4)
```

2. EXCELLENCE (starting page 3)
3. IMPACT
4. QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION
STOP PAGE COUNT (MAX 30 PAGES SECTIONS 2-4)

## DOCUMENT 2 (NO OVERALL PAGE LIMIT APPLIED)

5. REFERENCES
6. CAPACITIES OF THE PARTICIPATING ORGANISATIONS
7. ETHICS ASPECTS
8. LETTERS OF COMMITMENT OF TC PARTNER ORGANISATIONS END PAGE (1 page)

## Please note that:

Applicants must ensure that document 1 does not exceed the total page limit of maximum 32 pages ( 1 start page +1 table of content page +30 pages for sections 1-3).
No reference to the outcome of previous evaluations of this or any similar proposal should be included in the text. The expert evaluators will be strictly instructed to disregard any such references

## Part B - updates from last year

## Section 2 Excellence Section

-Alignment of gender aspects with article 16 of H2020 regulation 1291/2013
-"consideration will be made of how the proposed RISE project promotes gender equality by encouraging equal opportunities for male and female staff involved".

Section 4 Quality and Efficiency of the Implementation
-keep in mind the "credibility and feasibility of the allocation of secondments proposed to reach the action objectives
-Be sure to read carefully and complete all the necessary tables (Tables B2, B3a, B3b)

Section 4.3 Appropriateness of the institutional environment (hosting arrangements, infrastructure)
-Table B3d should be included only if applicable

- List beneficiaries/partner organisations that will participate together with other entities under a capital link and briefly
-describe the legal arrangement and the roles of each affiliated entity in the proposal
- Section 2.1: Quality and credibility of the research/innovation action; level of novelty and appropriate consideration of inter/multidisciplinary, intersectoral and gender aspects

Table B1 - Work Package (WP) List ${ }^{37}$

| Work <br> Package <br> No | Work <br> Package <br> Title | Activity Type (e.g. Research, <br> Training, Management, <br> Communication, <br> Dissemination...) | Number of <br> person- <br> months <br> involved per <br> secondment | Lead <br> Beneficiary | Start <br> Month | End <br> month |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Section 4.1 Coherence and effectiveness of the work plan, including appropriateness of the allocation of tasks and resources

| Work Package Number | "X*" | Start/End Month ${ }^{40}$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work Package Title | (e.g. relevant title reflecting the R\&I goals, Training, Transfer of knowledge activities, Management, Communication, Dissemination, etc.) |  |  |
| Lead Beneficiary ${ }^{41}$ |  |  |  |
| Participating organisation Short Name** |  |  |  |
| Total Person Months per Participating organisation: |  |  |  |
| Objectives: <br> explain the main objectives of the WP (e.g. R\&I, Training, Transfer of Knowledge (Through secondments, After secondments /Through reintegration) |  |  |  |
| Description of Work and Role of Specific Beneficiaries / Partner organisations broken down and listed into numbered tasks including the following details: |  |  |  |
| Task "X.1" <br> - Total number of Person Months allocated to secondments= "_" : <br> - Brief description of the task in terms of relevant information concerning the specific activity/goal, the leading organisation of the task, the role(s) of the participating organisation(s), the profiles of the involved staff members, etc. |  |  |  |
| Task "X.X" |  |  |  |
| Description of Deliverables: <br> - provide a brief description of the planned deliverables that is consistent with the deliverables to be listed from all WPs in Table B3a <br> - i.e. consider consolidating the above listed tasks into a reasonable number of concrete outcomes (scientific and/or management, training and dissemination deliverables) |  |  |  |

## Tables

- $\quad$ Section 4.1 Deliverable List (does not include secondments encodes in part A)

Table B3a - Deliverables list

| Scientific Deliverables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deliverable <br> Number ${ }^{42}$ | Deliverable <br> Title | WP <br> No. | Lead <br> Beneficiary <br> Short <br> Name $^{43}$ | Type <br> 44 | Dissemination <br> Level $^{45}$ | Due <br> Date ${ }^{46}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management, Training, and Dissemination Deliverables |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Section 4.1 Milestone list (should not be a repeat of the deliverables)

Table B3b - Milestones list

| Number | Title | Related WPs | Lead <br> Beneficiary ${ }^{48}$ | Due <br> Date | Means of <br> Verification ${ }^{49}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Section 4.2 Appropriateness of the management structures and procedures, including quality management and risk management

Table B3c - Risk List

| Risk No | Description of Risk | WP Number | Proposed mitigation <br> measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1 | e.g. delay in planned <br> secondments |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

- Section 4.3 Appropriateness of the institutional environment (hosting arrangements, infrastructure)

Table B3d - Secondments allocated to affiliated entities

| WP | Task <br> name | Staff member <br> profile <br> (ER/ESR/MNG/ <br> ADM/TECH) | Beneficiary <br> /Partner <br> organisation <br> short name | Affiliated <br> entity <br> short <br> name | Country <br> of the <br> affiliated <br> entity | Person- <br> months <br> allocated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Only if applicable

- Section 6. Participating organisations

Table B4 - Data for non-academic Beneficiaries

| Name | Location of <br> research <br> premises <br> (city/country) | Type of <br> R\&I <br> activities | No. of full - <br> time <br> employees <br> involved in <br> the project | No. of <br> employees <br> in R\&I | Web <br> site | Annual <br> turnover <br> (approx. <br> in Euro) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Partner organisations in TC Legal Name |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| General Description |  |
| Role and Profile of key <br> people | As above |
| Key Research Facilities, <br> Infrastructure and <br> Equipment | As above |
| Do you have independent <br> research premises? | As above |
| Previous Involvement in <br> Research and innovation <br> actions | As above |
| Current involvement in <br> Research and Innovation <br> actions | As above |
| Relevant publications <br> and/or <br> research/innovation <br> products | Max 3 |

Table B5 - Organisations (Beneficiaries and TC Partner organisations) data

| Beneficiary (Organisations in EU MS/AC) Legal Name |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| General Description |  |
| Role and Profile of key <br> people | Include names, qualifications of the person(s) <br> supervising the action. |
| Key Research Facilities, <br> Infrastructure and <br> Equipment | Demonstrate that the team has sufficient resources to <br> offer a suitable environment to seconded staff and to <br> significantly contribute to the research/innovation <br> activities proposed. |
| Independent research <br> premises? | Please explain the status of the Beneficiary's research <br> facilities - i.e. are they owned by the Beneficiary or <br> rented by it? Are its research premises wholly <br> independent from other Beneficiaries and/or TC <br> Partner organisations in the consortium? |
| Previous Involvement in <br> Research and innovation <br> actions | Describe relevant research/ innovation actions in which <br> the organisation took part |
| Current involvement in <br> Research and Innovation <br> actions | Describe relevant research/ innovation actions in which <br> the organisation is currently participating |
| Publications and/or <br> research/innovation <br> products | Max 5 |

## Letters of Commitment

- Letters of commitment are required for all Third Country partner organisations.
- Proposals including Third Country partner organisations without letters will have their contribution excluded by evaluators and it could also risk eligibility.
- If the proposal is retained for funding after the evaluation, the budget linked to the secondments of the TC organisation will be rejected and the total reduced.
- You cannot submit letters after the deadline
- A template for the letters is now provided
- The text of the letter is not evaluated

Annex 6 - Template of Institutional Commitment letter for TC Partner organisation participating in RISE project

- On headed paper of the Partner organisation
- Beyond any additional information that the TC participating organisation wishes to indicate in its Letter of institutional commitment, the following text should appear in all its parts and with no modifications:

I undersigned ${ }^{53}$ $\qquad$ in my quality of Legal Authorized Representative of $\qquad$ commit to set up all necessary provisions to send/host the secondments contributing to the development and implementation of the proposal number $\qquad$ - acronym
submitted within the call H2020-MSCA-RISE-2019 should the proposal be funded.

We will contribute to the [Explanation of the activities performed by the TC Partner organisations in order to ensure a successful implementation of the project].

I am aware of and agree with the principle that the setting up of such provisions is a precondition for the proposal to be funded.
[Free field for any additional information that the participating organisation wishes to indicate]

We are pleased to provide any additional information on our commitment towards the project upon your request or the request of the European Commission.

- Register with the Funding and Tender Opportunities Portal
- Give Access to the proposal to relevant people within/outside your organisation (Beneficiaries)
- Choose most appropriate panel and reflect on descriptors and key words - to help allocate evaluators from your project's areas
- Be consistent (Part A and Part B)
- Put yourself in the shoes of the evaluator - read the evaluation criteria!
- Write clearly and concisely (plain English!)
- Stick to formatting rules (page limits, font, etc.)
- Present case clearly: use tables, diagrams, bullet points and summaries where appropriate
- Ask someone to read through your proposal
- Make sure final version is submitted!

UK RESEARCH OFFICE

## Case Study 1

## Evaluation Process and Award Criteria

## Become an evaluator!

UK RESEARCH OFFICE


## Evaluation Process

## 1. Proposal Submission

2. Remote Evaluations

## 3. Consensus Meetings

- Via Participant Portal
- Admissibility/eligibility checks
- At least 3 evaluators
- Individual reports produced
- Consensus reports produced
- Agreement on comments/score


## 4. Ranked list of proposals

- Lists by panel
- Projects funded in priority order until budget is exhausted

Max. 5 Months to Outcome!

## RISE Grant Application Process

- Applications online through the Funding and Tender Opportunities Portal
- Apply to specific discipline panel


## Evaluation Panels

- Chemistry (CHE)
- Social Sciences and Humanities (SOC)
- Economic Sciences (ECO)
- Information Science and Engineering (ENG)
- Environment and Geosciences (ENV)
- Life Sciences (LIF)
- Mathematics (MAT)
- Physics (PHY)

BUT multidisciplinary encouraged!
Abstract + descriptors matter

No predefined budget allocation among the panels.
Budget distributed based on number of eligible proposals in each panel

## RISE evaluation and scoring

| Marie Skłodowska-Curie Research and Innovation Staff Exchange |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellence | Impact | Implementation |
| Scored on a scale of 0-5 |  |  |
| $50 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Weighting |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Priority in case of ex aequo |  |  |
| Overall threshold of 70\% applies to total score |  |  |

- Self-evaluation form
- http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/call ptef/ef/2018-2020/h2020-call-ef-msca-rise-2018-20 en.pdf
- Proposals ranked within panels by overall score
- Funded in rank order - need to aim at a score of 86-90+ depending on the panel.
- Same scores - prioritization
- decided by panel, based on scores for award criteria (weighting above).
- based on criteria in line with the WP (e.g. intersectoral mobility, international cooperation, gender).
- Evaluation summary reports provided
- No restrictions on re-application


## Score Descriptors

0 - Proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.
1 - Poor. The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.

4 - Very Good. Proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.
5 - Excellent. Proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

- Application form reflects evaluation criteria
- Reviewers concentrate on the comments and then assign the score
- Each criterion scored between 0 and 5
- Decimal points can be awarded


## RISE evaluation criteria

```
50%
```

- Excellence
- Quality and credibility of the research/innovation project
- Quality and appropriateness of knowledge sharing
- Quality of the proposed interaction

It is vital to elaborate on each and every point of the evaluation criteria

## Quality of the Research/Innovation

- Quality and credibility of the research/innovation project;
- The level of novelty and appropriate consideration of inter/multidisciplinary, intersectoral and gender aspects
- Clear, focused research objectives (translated into specific work packages)
- Evaluators may not be exact, specific experts in your areas, or familiar with country specific aspects
- Fit for the scope of the call - why is MSCA funding necessary;
- Think about the benefit to Europe of having a RISE in this area
- Up-to-date state of the art (+ literature references in Section 4)
- Risk analysis provided
- Highlight all inter- and multidisciplinary aspects
- Do not underestimate gender aspects


## Quality of Knowledge-sharing

- Quality and appropriateness of knowledge sharing among the participating organisations in light of the research and innovation objectives.
- Explain methodology and approach (highlight any novelties e.g. social media data sharing)
- Secondments (+ conferences, workshops, etc.) are the tool - explain how the staff will transfer knowledge to host organisation and back to sending institution
- Knowledge-sharing objectives - clear link to research objectives and impact
- Clear methodology (use of diagrams)


## Quality of Interaction

- Quality of the proposed interaction between the participating organisations.
- Role and contribution of each participant in the project (in secondments, research, network activities);
- Highlight particular expertise, geographical location, existing links or collaborations
- Describe and provide justification of the networking activities (contribution from all participants + link to knowledge-sharing)
- Opportunity for researchers/staff to be involved in a number of linked activities at different partners
- Highlight the complementarity of participants (academic / non-academic)


## Excellence: positive feedback

- Innovation and credibility are convincingly presented and are supported by a very detailed presentation of the state of art relevant to the project goals
- The project is very challenging and innovative, as well as genuinely intersectoral and interdisciplinary
- Scientific objects are clearly described and detailed
- The approach of knowledge transfer to the seconded researchers is very precisely described in terms of the type of knowledge to be transferred, knowledge providers and beneficiaries, and includes all sectors
- The quality of the interactions between the participating organisations is convincing. The main expertise of the involved partners clearly demonstrates complementarity and synergies. The participants have more than adequate capacity to achieve the goals of the project
- Well-planned strategy for secondments providing for effective knowledge transfer
- The proposal is ambitious has clearly described objectives and innovation potential. It also aims at excellence in its trans-disciplinary approach to research, transfer and dissemination. It is an interesting and cross-disciplinary project that offers a complete solution: research development and experimentation and then a lot of effort on dissemination.


## Excellence: negative feedback

- The scientific quality and the objectives of the project with regards to the innovation are not adequately formulated against the state of the art
- Specific objectives are not sufficiently focused. There is a lack of quantification in terms of targeted performances for the different systems to be developed
- The research programme lacks a detailed list of work packages, timetable and particular involvement of each partner is not specifically included
- The field of investigation of the proposal is not clear enough
- The methodologies proposed within such a diverse partnership are not sufficiently detailed
- The participants' interactions are not properly presented in terms of content and contribution to the project's objectives
- The project research methodology is not properly developed and lacks details as regards risk assessment, milestones and outcome
- Secondments are only indicated in terms of person/month within a table, but are not described in detail and no additional explanations are given


## RISE evaluation criteria

## - Impact 30\%

- Enhancing the potential and future career perspectives of the staff members
- Developing new and lasting research collaborations, to achieve transfer of knowledge between research institutions and to improve research and innovation potential at the European and global levels
- Quality of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results
- Quality of the proposed measures to communicate the project activities to different target audiences


## Impact - Human Resources

- Impact on R\&l related human resources, skills and working conditions to realise the potential of individuals and provide new career perspectives
- Describe impact on involved staff's (transferable and research skills enhanced, intersectoral/international experience gained)
- Relate to EU documents on HR policy in research (see links at the end of the presentation)
- If applicable, mention HR Excellence in Research logo and compliance with HR Strategy for Researchers



## Impact - Collaborations and EU Innovation potential

- Developing new and lasting research collaborations, to achieve transfer of knowledge between research institutions and to improve research and innovation potential at the European and global levels.
- Describe plans for building the co-operation and sustaining it after the end of the project (link to the EU International Co-operation policy)
- Explain innovation capacity - contribution of your research to R\&D in EU and globally (link to Innovation Union, research roadmaps)
- Check H2O20 Work Programme in your area - make links to EU priorities where possible


## Impact - Dissemination, Exploitation and Communication

- Quality of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results
- Don't underestimate this section - read the EC guidelines and think outside the box
- Develop a detailed dissemination strategy - involve all partners, target audiences outside research community (i.e. policy makers, general public, industry) and adjust your measures to reach them
- Explain the impact of your activities during and after the project
- Consider IP issues, explain exploitation strategy
- Relate to EC documents (public engagement) and link with existing initiatives (e.g. the European Researchers Night, UK events)


## Dissemination of results - Open Access

Obligation to provide open
access when publishing
 all areas of H2020, including MSCA/RISE!

## Openaire Resource


## Open Access Data

The following applies for all calls with an opening date on or after 26/07/2016:

- Grant beneficiaries under this work programme part will engage in research data sharing by default, as stipulated under Article 29.3 of the Horizon 2020 Model Grant Agreement (including the creation of a Data Management Plan). Participants may however opt out of these arrangements, both before and after the signature of the grant agreement. More information can be found under General Annex L of the work programme.


Foresee a Research Data Management Plan as delivery of the project in month 6
http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/docs/h2 020-funding-guide/cross-cutting-issues/open-access-data-management/datamanagement en.htm

## Impact: positive feedback

- The project addresses the expected impacts of the call very well. The tools to achieve this, instruments and the measures are clearly outlined and well described
- The training programme enhances knowledge transfer and skills, boosts the academic prospects of the researchers and, working in close collaboration with industrial partners, the career perspectives. Both the ERs and ESRs will benefit from the exchange programme.
- The knowledge transfer will have positive implications at European and at global level and is clearly described
- The proposal will contribute positively to develop long-lasting research collaborations between EU and TC building on already existing links. The participation of the industrial partners will result in close academia-industry collaborations and commercially-driven project ideas
- Intellectual property rights aspects and exploitation of results are clearly articulated
- Dissemination strategy is accurately designed and has appropriate targets; tools are
- adequate and of excellent quality


## Impact: negative feedback

- The expected additional research skills to be developed within academics are not well demonstrated, and this fact limits the perspectives for the career development of the researchers
- The description of the working conditions is not sufficiently elaborated; the proposal does not particularly demonstrate how the working conditions will improve the performance of the researchers
- Contributions to the improvement of the innovation potential at the global level have not been presented in sufficient detail
- The measures for disseminating the results have been presented only in general terms
- The proposed communication and dissemination measures are mainly based on conferences and papers and their objectives are not sufficiently described with reference to the project activities
- The potential for innovation claimed in the field of clinical pharmacy is not properly sustained and, therefore, the contribution of this proposal to the potential of European research and worldwide research is narrow
- Possible commercial impact, in particular through SME, not addressed


## RISE Evaluation Criteria

- Implementation $20 \%$
- Coherence and effectiveness of the work plan, including appropriateness of the allocation of tasks and resources
- Appropriateness of the management structures and procedures including quality management and risk management
- Appropriateness of the institutional environment (hosting arrangements, infrastructure)
- Competences, experience and complementarity of the participating organisations and their commitment to the project


## Work Plan and Management

- Provide a detailed work plan (who, what, how)
- Divide the project into coherent Work Packages, define clear and specific milestones and deliverables; not only for research WPs, but also for Management, Dissemination and Communication, Transfer of Knowledge WPs
- Use Gantt chart, organisational schemes, etc.
- Describe a sound management plan (consortium agreement, monitoring processes, financial management, risk monitoring, IPR management)
- Describe management team capacity



## Complementarity \& Genuine Involvement

- Highlight complementarity of skills and expertise in the consortium
- Consider financial implications of participation of partners from TC not automatically eligible for EU funding
- Demonstrate institutional commitment (return mechanism built-in after exchanges; knowledge-sharing)
- Provide Letters of Commitment from Third Country partner organisations (expertise, responsibilities in the project, selffinancing if necessary)



## Gender Aspects

- Don't underestimate gender aspects (gender experts in all Evaluation Panels) now explicit evaluation criteria!
- Relate to EU policies on Gender Equality - cross-cutting priority in Horizon 2020
- Equal opportunities (among seconded staff and decisionmakers/supervisors)
- Gender dimension in the research content (e.g. subjects or end-users)
- Gender dimension in project management, secondments and networking activities



## Gender Aspects - Links

- Gendered Innovations - Stanford University project: practical tools for researchers: methods to be used in a research project; case studies; checklist
- Horizon 2020 Manual - part on Gender equality
- H2020 Gender Advisory Group paper on preparing grants that integrate the gender dimension into research.
- Gender Action CSA project to support the implementation of gender equality on R\&I throughout ERA



## MSCA video on Gender Dimension

Understanding gender dimension for MSCA projects


This video will explain you what gender dimension in research is about and give you
examples of how it can be integrated within your research project and improve the quality of your research.
http://ec.europa.eu/research/mariecurieactions/gallery/understand ing-gender-dimension-msca-projects en

## I mplementation: positive feedback

- The work plan and the activities proposed to reach the project objectives are well conceived and convincing
- The coordinator has a relevant experience in managing large and complex international projects
- The partners have specific expertise and highly qualified personnel necessary to carry out the specific task of the proposal. The mix of skill and expertise between the organizations is excellent and covers all relevant aspects of the project
- The key scientific staff involved are experienced and have an appropriate level of involvement
- The credibility, feasibility and gender aspects are well-provided in the proposal
- The infrastructural facilities are first class and fully adequate for the needs of the project
- Gender aspects in the planning of the activities are duly considered
- IP generated under this project will be carefully managed and the strategy takes carefully into account development perspectives of the industrial partner


## Implementation: negative comments

- The project work plan proposed is not sufficiently detailed: deliverables are not appropriately measurable; the secondments and partners allocated to each task are not properly described; with interconnections between the work packages missing, and limited detail regarding the scheduling of tasks
- Although the work plan is well depicted, the R\&D related work packages look overambitious and not well focused
- The complementarity of the participating organizations is not adequately discussed. The overall project offers a scheme characterized by a strong prevalence of one partner without a clear demonstration of the coordination with other partners
- The risk management and contingency plans are outlined only briefly and are insufficiently specified for a project of this size
- Secondments are not sufficiently specified or balanced between participants
- IPR aspects are unclear


## Other key considerations

- Operational capacity of the organisations
- Use well tables in Section 5 of Part B
- Profile of key staff, description of key infrastructure or technical equipment, all partner organisations contributing towards the proposed work (special attention to SMEs)
- Ethics Issues
- Self-assessment in Part A and strategy in Section 6 of Part B
- Outside the 30-page limit - provide detailed strategy
- Crucial for all research domains $\rightarrow$ need to identify any potential ethical issues and describe they will be addressed
- All proposals considered for funding subject to Ethics Review
- Read the Ethics Self-Assessment Guidelines



## Responsible Research and Innovation

"Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions endorse the Horizon 2020 Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) cross-cutting issue, engaging society, integrating the gender and ethical dimensions, ensuring the access to research outcomes and encouraging formal and informal science education.

All applicants to the MSCA calls are encouraged to adopt an RRI approach into their proposals."

Rome Declaration on Responsible Research and Innovation in Europe, November 2014

Report from the Expert Group on Policy Indicators for Responsible Research and Innovation

Open Science

Responsible research \& innovation
Responsible research and innovation is an approach that anticipates and assesses potential implications and societal expectations with regard to research and innovation, with the aim to foster the design of inclusive and sustainable research and innovation.

Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) implies that societal actors (researchers, citizens, policy makers,
 business, third sector organisations, etc.) work together during the whole research and innovation process in order to better align both the process and its outcomes with the values, needs and expectations of society.
In practice, RRI is implemented as a package that includes multi-actor and public engagement in research and innovation, enabling easier access to scientific results, the take up of gender and ethics in the research and innovation content and process, and formal and informal science education.

Implementing RRI in Horizon 2020
Responsible research and innovation is key action of the 'Science with and for Society' objective. RRI actions will be promoted via 'Science with and for Society' objective via:

- actions on thematic elements of RRI (public engagement, open access, gender, ethics, science education), and
- via integrated actions that for example promote institutional change, to foster the uptake of the RRI approach by stakeholders and institutions.


## Horizon 2020 IPR

- For further information see the IPR Helpdesk:



## Get your ticket to innovation!

We believe that knowing how to manage Intellectual Property (IP) and Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) is the ticket to innovation and competitiveness in Europe. The European IPR Helpdesk offers free of charge, first-line support on IP and IPR matters to beneficiaries of EU funded research projects and EU SMEs involved in transnational partnership agreements, especially within the Enterprise Europe Network (EEN).

Support within 3 working days!
Our Helpline service provides tailor-made advice on your specific IP or IPR query - customized, straight-forwardly, comprehensibly and free of charge. Get in touch with our team of experienced lawyers via registration on our
follow us


Linked in
newsletter sign up here
upcoming
12.02. | Intellectual
property, traceability and
propert
the...
7.02. | Fast Track for
trade mark applications -
25.02. | Workshop on
technology transfer: paving
the...
other helpdesks

- Horizon 2020 IPR Helpdesk (advice, events, articles, webinars)
- IPR Helpdesk - IP in MSCA Factsheet


## RISE 2014 - success rate by Panel

| Evaluated proposals | Retain List <br> Threshold | Reserve List <br> Threshold | Success Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | 82.6 | 76.2 | $50 \%$ |
| Economic Sciences | 70.6 | 70 | $33.3 \%$ |
| Information Science and <br> Engineering | 78.6 | 75.6 | $40.7 \%$ |
| Environment and <br> Geosciences | 78.4 | 75.8 | $45.8 \%$ |
| Life Sciences | 78.2 | 76.4 | $45.5 \%$ |
| Mathematics | 76.4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $25 \%$ |
| Physics | 81.4 | 79 | $32 \%$ |
| Social Sciences and <br> Humanities | 77.2 | 75.2 | $47.6 \%$ |

## RISE 2015 - Success Rate by Panel

| Evaluated <br> Proposals | 'Retained' list <br> threshold | Reserve list <br> threshold | Success rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | 86.4 | 86.2 | $24 \%$ |
| Economic Sciences | 88 | 75.2 | $17.60 \%$ |
| Information <br> Science and <br> Engineering | 84.2 | 82.8 | $23 \%$ |
| Environment and <br> Geosciences | 90.8 | 88.4 | $19.60 \%$ |
| Life Sciences | 81.4 | 81 | $34.60 \%$ |
| Mathematics | 84.6 | 78 | $36 \%$ |
| Physics | 88.6 | 88.2 | $28 \%$ |
| Social Sciences <br> and Humanities | 88.3 | 86.4 | $20 \%$ |

RISE 2016 - Success Rate by Panel

| Evaluated <br> Proposals | 'Retained' list <br> threshold | Reserve list <br> threshold | Success rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | 89 | 89 | $26.47 \%$ |
| Economic Sciences | 81 | 80.4 | $22.22 \%$ |
| Information <br> Science and <br> Engineering | 83.2 | 81.4 | $24.78 \%$ |
| Environment and <br> Geosciences | 91.2 | 89.4 | $17.31 \%$ |
| Life Sciences | 82.8 | 80.4 | $25 \%$ |
| Mathematics | 83.2 | 79.8 | $27.27 \%$ |
| Physics | 87.6 | 86.4 | $20.59 \%$ |
| Social Sciences <br> and Humanities | 90.8 | 89 | $21.67 \%$ |

## RISE 2017 - Success Rate by Panel

|  | 'Retained' list <br> threshold | Reserve list <br> threshold | Success rate \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chemistry | 86.4 | 84.6 | $28.00 \%$ |
| Economic Sciences | 86 | 76.8 | $20.00 \%$ |
| Information <br> Science and <br> Engineering | 83.2 | 79.2 | $27.27 \%$ |
| Environment and <br> Geosciences | 88.6 | 85.4 | $26.32 \%$ |
| Life Sciences | 84.8 | 82.2 | $21.05 \%$ |
| Mathematics | 86.6 | 75.4 | $21.43 \%$ |
| Physics | 85.4 | 81.8 | $26.92 \%$ |
| Social Sciences <br> and Humanities | 82.6 | 79 | $23.40 \%$ |
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## RISE Experiences and Final Tips

## RISE Experiences So Far...

- No 'typical' RISE project size - the largest supported RISE project is 40 times larger than the smallest
- RISE averages:
- 10.1 participants
- 2.2 month secondment length

- RISE projects advance well scientifically
- However, often lag behind with secondments (with big variations)
- Only 50 \% secondments completed against schedule (in on-going projects)
- Remember, eligible secondments are the source of income for RISE projects and salaries not covered, so some co-financing expected!
- Immediate reporting is important (on-line - declarations of secondments)
- Purely administrative/managerial secondments not eligible
- Split secondments are generally more expensive so need careful consideration


## Abstract - Have a story to tell...

- Make the relevance very clear
- Clearly but shortly explain what you are going to do
- Highlight impact
~ EU impact? Knowledge gap? Why your project? Why now?


## Overall presentation matters...

- Use tables, colours, graphs and schematic representations of concepts \& information you want them to see and understand (this takes time...)
- Check consistency across the whole proposal
- Avoid repetition, highlight key information
- Use the Gantt Chart well


## Closing Thoughts...

- Set aside enough time
- Clarify your own goals for applying
- Read all Call documentation (i.e. Guide for Applicants and Work Programme) and consider any relevant EU policy documents
- Fully appreciate the evaluation criteria - think IMPACT!
- Help evaluators (success is in the detail!)
- Address well the main objectives
- Use clear and concise language
- Explain country specific jargon
- Provide them with the evidence they need
- Discuss with and meet your partners (aim high; you need the best experts)
- Research previous and current projects, particularly those in your area
- Find colleagues to proof read the drafts with the evaluation criteria in hand
- Create a 'perfect' project, ready for implementation


# Key Messages from Today 

## rraining <br> Knowledge useful abilitue backbone of <br> RISE is not only about secondments, you need a research and training project - be realistic about the budget <br> 

- 



Put yourself in the shoes of the evaluators - make their life easy...


The Guide for Applicants - don't let it out of your sight!

## Additional Resources

## How to find partners?

Domain specific NCP example search platforms

- MSCA: https://www.net4mobility.eu/eoi.html
- ICT: http://www.ideal-ist.eu/partner-search/pssearch
- Nanotechnologies: https://www.nmp-partnersearch.eu/index.php
- Pharmaceuticals: $\underline{\text { https://cloud.imi.europa.eu/web/eimi-pst }}$
- Environment: http://www.irc.ee/envncp/?page=search
- Social Science \& Humanities: http://net4society.eu/public/pss.php


## How to find partners?

UK RESEARCH OFFICE

## Partner Search Tool on the RISE Call Page in the Funding and Tenders Portal

© Eumomel Funding \& tender opportunities




## Horizon 2020


 seall woses or mis cal


## wblish patriner search for topic

Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (msCarile-2019)

Partner Search list
Result: 23

## organisation name e

HIGHER INSTITUTE OF INSURANCE AND FINANCE
University of Finance, Business and Entrepreneurship (VUZF University) is the first private university specialized in research in the areas of innovation, finance.

- management and marketing. VUZF University in Bulgaria has been one of the top 7. performers of bringing the knowledge triangle (govermment, business, and academia) in the past five years and organized over 40 top events
ind
FUNDACION CENTRO DE SERVICIOS Y PROMOCION FORESTAL Y DE SUINDUSTRIA DE CASTILLA Y LEON
CESEFOR (Castile and León Wood and Forest Service Centre) is avallable to join a
RISE project in the filed of forestry and forest based industries. Cesefor brings
together a range of services: capacity building, consultancy and training and has
- together a range of services: capacity building, consultancy and training and has an
- extensive experience in the development of R\&D8I projects, mainly focused
improvement of their manutacturing processes, also in the construction sector. Kee in
touch!
touc
AgENCE NATIONALE DE LA PROMOTION DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE
The National Agency for Scientific Research Promotion (ANPR) is a Tunisian public establishment under the Ministry of Higher Education and the Scientific Research The
Etabilishment under the Ministy of Higher revaction and he cicientific Reseat


## Information Resources

- MSCA 2018-2020 Work Programme http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/wp/2018-2020/main/h2020-wp1820-msca en.pdf
- RISE Guide for Applicants http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/guides for applicants/h20 20-guide-appl-msca-rise en.pdf
- MSCA RISE Self-evaluation form
http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/call ptef/ef/2018-2020/h2020-call-ef-msca-rise-2018-20 en.pdf
- Net4Mobility RISE Handbook https://www.net4mobilityplus.eu/fileadmin/user upload/N4M MSCARISE Handbook 2019.pdf
- 2018 RISE Coordinators Day https://ec.europa.eu/info/h2020-msca-rise-2018-coordinators-day en
- H2020 AMGA
http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants manual/amga/h2020amga en.pdf
- Previously funded RISE projects on CORDIS
- Evaluation Guidance and Templates
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## Case Study 2


[^0]:    Search calls for proposals and tenders by keywords, programmes..

[^1]:    How to fill in the forms

